

Dear Sirs:

I would like to say that I am STRONGLY opposed to any relaxation of the rules regarding cross-ownership of the media. I think we NEED this to stay in place and protect what diversity we have. It is chilling to think that some day we would have two, or three media giants running the show. Allready our choices have been growing limited. For example, TV coverage is bland, and only a few news outlets put out the news that I don't even watch it. In fact, I don't even have TV service of any kind. For the most part, I use the Internet and check news from a few USA sources. However, I use the Internet to check many news sites from various countries to get a better balanced view that the stuff the few media outlets in the USA are putting out.

If changes to the rule are made, I can only see them making media landscape more "Orwellian" in nature. Now how much public dissention of this change is being made? I don't hear much! Good thing I do use the Internet.

We don't need more concentration of the media. We don't need more giant corporate control of extremely important social functions. We need diverse voices! Isn't that what the founding fathers of this country intended in the first place?

Again, I am very, very strongly agains relaxing ANY rules regarding cross-ownership of the media.

Sincerely,  
Matt Lupton - Delaware